# What we Know About Mobile Shales? Seismic Expression and Processes

Bureau of Economic Geology

### Juan I. Soto

#### Michael R. Hudec, Tim P. Dooley, and Mahdi Heidari



Professor of Structural Geology and Tectonics (Granada University, Spain) – <u>isoto@uqr.es</u>

Researcher of the Bureau of Economic Geology (The University of Texas at Austin) – juan.soto@beq.utexas.edu



### Acknowledgements

Bureau of Economic Geolog



Maryline Le Béon and other colleagues at NCU, together with Academia Sinica, for the invitation and support of my visit.





Permission to use seismic data

Applied Geodynamics Laboratory Consortium www.beg.utexas.edu/agl/sponsors



**2**/36

### What is the scientific problem?

#### How does the fluidification of a consolidated sedimentary rock occur?

Mud Volcanoes in Onshore Azerbaijan



Martin Hovland



### Outline of the talk

- 1. What is a diapir? Salt vs. Shale diapirs
- 2. Some principles
- **3.** Mechanical model for mobile shales
- 4. Tectonic setting of mobile shales
- 5. Structural styles of mobile shales
- 6. Experimental models of shales under compression
- 7. Concluding remarks







10 cm

"We use the term "diapir" strictly to refer to a body that has pierced, or appears to have pierced, shallower overburden (O'Brien, 1968). Natural diapirs are separated from their cover by a strain discontinuity; nondiapiric structures are in conformable contact with their overburden." (Jackson & Talbot, 1983)



### **1** Salt vs. Shale diapirs



Data courtesy of Ministry of Energy (Trinidad) and CGG



#### Source layer, presalt, suprasalt (overburden), and subsalt



Jackson & Hudec, 2017



# 2 Piercing mechanisms



"Piercement – Emplacement of a diapir to create a discordant contact, in which the diapiric margin crosscuts surrounding strata."

"Diapir – Structure in which a mobile material pierces its overburden"

(Jackson & Talbot, 1983)

Vendeville et al., 1992a, 1992b Jackson et al., 1994 (taken from Hudec & Soto, 2021)

### **3** Mechanical model for mobile shales

ισ1 Salt  $\sigma_1$ non-mobile / 1 Deviatoric stress to shear strength (q/q₀) mobile behaviour behaviour 3 2 shale no creep 2 cm Reza Taheri et al., 2021 limited creep below shear **Marcellus shale** 1 strength increasing confining pressure 2 3  $\int \sigma_1$  $\int \sigma_1$  $\int \sigma_1$ significant creep deformation below shear strength Shear strain rate shear fracture tension fracture 2 cm layering Soto et al., 2021b Villamor Lora et al., 2016

### 3.1 Mechanical behavior of shales vs. salt

### 3.2 Experimental deformation of a natural shale

- Principles of soil mechanics can be extrapolated to cemented shales (e.g., Jones and Addis, 1986; Brown, 1990; Ewy et al., 2020)
- Following Terzaghi's equation, effective stress ( $\sigma$ ) is the total stress ( $\sigma$ ) less some proportion of pore pressure (u):

 $\sigma' \approx \sigma - u$ 

- Stages during <u>undrained</u> triaxial tests on clays/shales:
- (A) to (B) Uniaxial burial to final depth
  (B) to (C) Horizontal <u>compression</u> (σ<sub>v</sub>-σ<sub>h</sub><0; shear-induced overpressure)</li>
  (C) to (D) Strain softening (shear-induced overpressure, formation of continuous fractures)
  (D) Critical State (high overpressure, fabric collapse, unlimited shear at same stress -> sample flows)





Soto et al., 2021b

### 3.3 Evolution of the fabric





### 3.4 Mobile shales as bodies deforming at critical state

"Bodies of clay-rich sediment or sedimentary rock undergoing penetrative, (visco-) plastic deformation at the critical state"

At critical state, shale behaves as a Deformation pattern at critical state Herschel-Bulkley fluid material  $\sigma_3$ 1.4 tension/ hydraulic paths for fracture 1.2 73.2% fluidized shales Shear stress (kPa) shear 1.0 3 fracture/ 2 zones 0.8  $\sigma_1$ 86.3% (water content)  $\sigma_1$ 0.6 stylolite shear cleavage fabric **Mobile shales** 0.4 0.2 London clay (Skepton, 1948) 0.0 Shear strain -

- At critical state, shales deform with a high shear strain without any additional shear stress
- Strength decreases with water content

# (4) Tectonic setting of mobile shales

- Many mobile shales occurs in <u>contractional settings</u> (45%), like accretionary wedges and fold—thrust belts in continental margins
- Linked systems in deltas (updip extension and deepwater contraction) is another important setting (31%)





### (4) Tectonic setting of mobile shales



#### **5.1** Mud volcanoes





(data courtesy

of REPSOL)

Offshore Barbados

# **5** Structural styles of mobile shales

#### 5.1 Mud volcanoes





Soto et al., 2021a

### 5.2 Mud (gas) pipes

North Sea (Offshore UK)





#### **5.3 Detachment folds** (with homogeneous strata)

1 km

East Breaks Fold Belt (Gulf of Mexico)





#### **5.3 Detachment folds** (with heterogeneous layered strata)

1 km

East Breaks Fold Belt (Gulf of Mexico)





#### Field examples of detachment anticlines



20/36

#### Detached lift-off anticline (sensu Mitra, 2003)

Big Show, near Crowsnest Pass (Alberta, Canada)



Image courtesy of Willem Langenberg



Soto et al., under review

### 5.4 Thrust–related folds

East Breaks Fold Belt (Gulf of Mexico)



### 5.4 Thrust–related folds

East Breaks Fold Belt (Gulf of Mexico)



1 km



# Mud volcanoes and shale diapirs



2 km

H:V=x2

East Breaks Fold Belt (Gulf of Mexico)

Data proprietary of PGS



Soto et al., under review

#### Where are located the mud volcanoes?





### (B) Mud volcanoes along fault-related shear zones (contraction-driven migration)



Soto & Hudec, 2023



### **(6)** Experimental models of shales under compression

#### Learnings for the shale deformation



Cumulative Shear Strain (%)

0

- Complex zig–zag thrusting when three weak layers are stacked
- Complete detached structural styles between lower and upper levels
- Multiple changes in the structural vergence favored by the weak layers





1) Mobile shales are formed by visco-plastic deformation at critical state.

- **2) Deformation is penetrative** at critical state and may contain a combination of brittle and ductile structures, and possibly evidence of volume changes.
- 3) Deformation also induces overpressures (shear-induced overpressure).
- **4)** Mobile shale structures vary from complex fold cores and thrust-related folds to shale sheets and mud volcanoes.
- 5) Mobile shales preferentially occurs in **contractional settings**, constituting very efficient decoupling levels for deformation, forming detached structures in the fold–thrust belts.
- 6) In fold—thrust belts, weak shales deform internally through discrete, anastomosing, and non-stationary **shear zones**.



### **Publications on Mobile Shales:**

- **2024** Hassan, Le Béon, Lin, Ching, Soto, Chen & Nguyen. Structural analysis in the actively deforming western foothills in southwestern Taiwan: Fault-related folds or mobile shale processes? *Interpretation*, under review.
- **2024** Soto, Dooley, Hudec, Peel & Apps. Shortening a mixed salt and mobile shale system: A case study from East Breaks, NW Gulf of Mexico. *Interpretation*, under review.
- **2024** Namaz, Guliyev & Soto. Structure and formation of mud volcanoes in the South Caspian Basin according to seismic data. *Interpretation*, under review.
- **2024** Dooley, Soto, Reber, Hudec, Peel & Apps. Modeling mobile shales under contraction: Critical analyses of new analog simulations of shale tectonics and comparison with salt systems. *Interpretation*, in press.
- **2023** Soto & Hudec. *Geology*, 51(8), 779–784.
- **2023** Hudec, Peel, Soto & Apps. *Marine and Petroleum Geology*, 155, 106391.
- **2023** Erdi, Jackson & Soto. *Basin Research*, 35(3), 1071–1101.
- 2021b Soto, Heidari & Hudec. Scientific Reports, 11, 23785.
- **2021a** Soto, Hudec, Mondol & Heidari. *Earth-Science Reviews*, 220, 103746.
- **2021** Hudec & Soto. *Basin Research*, 33(5), 2862–2882.

#### **Mobile shales**

Submission deadline: **1 December 2023** Publication of issue: **August 2024** 





Example of mobile shales in a seismic profile from the Barbados region showing a complex superposition of chambers feeding a stack of mud volcano edifices. Seismic profile provided ay Repsol, which is acknowledged for giving permission to publish the image. Inset with a field example of a mud volcanoes from the Apennines (Puianello, Italy). Image taken by Mark Tingay.

Interpretation, copublished by SEG and AAPG, aims to advance the practice of subsurface interpretation.



### References

Hudec, M.R., Jackson, M.P.A., 2007. Terra infirma: Understanding salt tectonics. Earth-Science Reviews, 82, 1–28.

Jackson, M.P., Hudec, M.R., 2017. Salt tectonics: Principles and practice. Cambridge University Press.

Jackson, M.P., Talbot, C. J., 1986. External shapes, strain rates, and dynamics of salt structures. Geological Society of America Bulletin, 97(3), 305–323.

- Jackson, M.P., Vendeville, B.C., Schultz-Ela, D.D., 1994. Structural dynamics of salt systems. Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, 22(1), 93–117.
- Maestrelli, D., Iacopini, D., Jihad, A.A., Bond, C.E., Bonini, M., 2017. Seismic and structural characterization of fluid escape pipes using 3D and partial stack seismic from the Loyal Field (Scotland, UK): A multiphase and repeated intrusive mechanism. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 88, 489–510.
- Mitra, S., 2003. A unified kinematic model for the evolution of detachment folds. Journal of Structural Geology, 25(10), 1659–1673.
- O'Brien, G.D., 1968. Survey of diapirs and diapirism. In Braunstein, J., O'Brien, G.D. (Eds.), Diapirism and diapirs: AAPB Memoir, 8, 1–9.
- Reza Taheri, S., Pak, A., Shad, S., Mehrgini, B., Razifar, M., 2020. Investigation of rock salt layer creep and its effects on casing collapse. Int. J. Min. Sci. Technol., 30(3), 357–365.
- Skempton, A.W., 1948. Vane tests in the alluvial plain of River Forth near Grangemouth. Géotechnique, 1, 111–124.
- Vendeville, B.C., Jackson, M.P.A., 1992a. The rise of diapirs during thin-skinned extension. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 9(4), 331–354.
- Vendeville, B.C., Jackson, M.P.A., 1992b. The fall of diapirs during thin-skinned extension. Marine and Petroleum Geology, 9(4), 354–371.
- Villamor Lora, R., Ghazanfari, E., Asanza Izquierdo, E., 2016. Geomechanical characterization of Marcellus Shale. Rock Mech. Rock Eng., 49, 3403–3424.

